

### REMARKS


Claims 62-65 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,222 B1. Applicants note that while the Examiner states claims 60 and 61 are also rejected under this doctrine, these claims 60, 61 and 66-78 were canceled (and remain so) in the response filed October 2, 2006. Thus the only claims at issue are claims 62-65.

Applicants further note that a Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant Application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,222 B1 ('222 Patent) was filed with the response of October 2, 2006. Nevertheless, Applicants are herewith filing a second Terminal Disclaimer disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant Application which would extend beyond the expiration date of the '222 Patent.

Applicants believe that the newly filed Terminal Disclaimer should overcome the current double patenting rejection, and thus Applicants request withdrawal of all rejections and allowance of the pending claims. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned should any issues remain.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 5, 2007

By:   
Richard Stern Ph.D.  
Registration No. 50,668  
Heska Corporation  
3760 Rocky Mountain Ave.  
Loveland, CO 80538  
Telephone: (970) 493-7272, ext. 4174  
Facsimile: (970) 619-3011